

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
2 Yi-Chin Ho (Bar No. 204834)  
3 355 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
4 Telephone: +1.213.485.1234  
Facsimile: +1.213.891.8763

5 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
6 Belinda S Lee (Bar No. 199635)  
7 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94111-6538  
Telephone: +1.415.391.0600  
Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095

8 Attorneys for Defendant  
HannStar Display Corporation  
9

10  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
14

15 Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
16 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION  
17  
18

19 Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al., No. 10-cv-4572 SI  
20

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
MDL No. 1827

Individual Case File No. 10-cv-4572 SI

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
TO AMEND BRIEFING AND HEARING  
SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO FRCP 6(B)  
AND NORTHERN DISTRICT CIVIL  
LOCAL RULES 6-1, 6-3, 7-10 AND 7-11**

[MDL Dkt. Nos. 8606, 8611, 8610, 8612, 8653]

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
LOS ANGELES

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO  
AMEND BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE  
NO. 07-MD-1827 SI; NO. 10-CV-4572 SI

1           WHEREAS Defendant HannStar Display Corporation (“HannStar”) engaged Latham &  
 2 Watkins LLP on October 10, 2013 to replace Freitas Tseng & Kaufman LLP as its counsel of  
 3 record in this matter and has filed a Motion to Substitute Counsel concurrently herewith;

4           WHEREAS HannStar seeks an extension of certain upcoming briefing deadlines and  
 5 dates to allow Latham & Watkins sufficient time to assume its new role as HannStar’s counsel;

6           WHEREAS counsel for HannStar and counsel for Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy  
 7 Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Best Buy.com,  
 8 L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. (“the Best Buy Plaintiffs”) have met and conferred and agree  
 9 that an extension of certain deadlines and dates would be appropriate;

10          WHEREAS the schedule proposed below will result in the parties’ related submissions  
 11 being filed at least nine days in advance of the proposed new hearing on these matters; and

12          WHEREAS HannStar has agreed to forebear from making any further requests for  
 13 information (discovery) to or asserting any entitlement to further information (discovery) from  
 14 the Best Buy Plaintiffs other than in HannStar’s (a) opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Motion  
 15 for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs and (b) opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Bill of Costs.

16 Further, the parties agree that nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as an admission by  
 17 either party as to the relevance of this information, nor shall anything in this Stipulation affect or  
 18 alter the burden of proof applicable to the pending motions;

19          THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the parties that the following  
 20 schedule should be adopted:

21	<b>Event</b>	<b>Previous Date</b>	<b>New Date</b>
22	HannStar’s Opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Motion for Fees and Costs	October 16, 2013	<b>October 30, 2013</b>
23	The Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Opposition to HannStar’s Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	October 16, 2013	<b>October 30, 2013</b>
24	HannStar’s Opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Bill of Costs	October 21, 2013	<b>November 4, 2013</b>
25	HannStar’s Reply ISO Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	October 23, 2013	<b>November 13, 2013</b>

1	The Best Buy Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Motion for Fees and Costs	October 30, 2013	<b>November 13, 2013</b>
3	The Best Buy Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Bill of Costs	November 4, 2013	<b>November 13, 2013</b>
4	Hearing of the Following Motions: 1. The Best Buy Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs (MDL Dkt. No. 8610) 2. The Best Buy Plaintiffs' Bill of Costs (MDL Dkt. No. 8612) 3. The Best Buy Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the September 4, 2013 Judgment Against HannStar to Reflect Treble Damages (MDL Dkt. No. 8611) 4. HannStar's Motion to Vacate Judgment Pursuant to FRCP 60(b) (MDL Dkt. No. 8606) 5. HannStar's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to FRCP 50(b) (MDL Dkt. No. 8653)	November 15, 2013	<b>November 22, 2013</b> <b>(or such other date the Court may choose)</b>

13 Dated: October 15, 2013

14 LATHAM &amp; WATKINS LLP

15 By: /s/Yi-Chin Ho16 Yi-Chin Ho  
17 Attorneys for Defendant  
18 HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORPORATION

19 Dated: October 15, 2013

20 ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER &amp; CIRESI L.L.P.

21 By: /s/Michael Geibelson22 Michael Geibelson  
23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
24 BEST BUY CO., INC.; BEST BUY  
PURCHASING LLC; BEST BUY  
ENTERPRISE SERVICES, INC.; BEST BUY  
STORES, L.P.; BESTBUY.COM, L.L.C.;  
AND MAGNOLIA HI-FI, INC.25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**26 Dated: October 16 201327 Honorable Susan Illston  
28 United States District Court Judge

LA\3351459.2